

Integrated depreciation management system

A Nechaev¹ and A Rasputina²

¹Irkutsk National Research Technical University, 664074, Russia, Irkutsk

²Irkutsk Baikal state University, 664003, Russia, Irkutsk

E-mail: n-a-s@mail.ru

Abstract. To substantiate the benefits of an integrated depreciation system, the article aims to find an optimal combination of methods of depreciation and calculation of the amount of profit and property taxes. Using the developed mathematical model, it is possible to calculate the amount of money released by the enterprise during the period of deferred taxation for the renewal of fixed assets and the amount of profit and property taxes to be paid. The method allows for conclusion that only the combination of the sum-of-the-years-digits (a decreasing depreciation option) and sum-of-the-years-digits (an increasing depreciation option) methods has the greatest economic effect, i.e. it allows for an increase in profit and property tax revenues to the budget.

1. Introduction

A number of works are devoted to the depreciation mechanism combining financial and tax accounting. The need for this mechanism is due to significant problems in the accounting area caused by application financial and tax accounting systems and non-compliance of the latest accounting standards with those applied in Europe and North America. These problems have been analyzed by a lot of researchers [1].

In our opinion, the combination of financial and tax accounting systems for the purpose of managing funds released from taxation during the depreciation accrual period can be solved if a comprehensive depreciation accrual system is created.

An integrated depreciation system is a system that combines various methods of depreciation which will minimize the amount of property and profit taxes in order to invest funds released from taxation in the renewal of assets under unconditional return of the unpaid amount of taxes [2].

To substantiate the benefits of an integrated depreciation system, let us find an optimal combination of methods used for depreciation and calculation of the amount of profit and property taxes.

The purpose of optimization is to maximize the amount of depreciation charges in the initial periods of asset usage, which will allow the enterprise to maximize the amount of funds for renewal of fixed assets with a subsequent increase in the the amount of profit and property taxes [3].

The following constraints were taken into account:

- funds received due to the profit and property tax amount minimization are directed to the purchase of additional assets;



- newly purchased assets will be appreciated so as to minimize the amount of depreciation in the initial period of asset usage.

The constraints will allow the enterprise to increase profit and property taxes after commissioning newly acquired assets that were reduced by applying methods maximizing the amount of depreciation in the initial period of depreciation of the equipment in use [4]. Thereby they will contribute to the return of profit and property taxes which are not paid in the first years of asset usage.

2. Materials and methods

In studying this issue, a comprehensive depreciation management system was analyzed. The methods used for studying theoretical material are analysis and synthesis. They help study facts, develop concepts, draw conclusions, make theoretical generalizations, and analyze a comprehensive depreciation management system [5].

3. Results

To prove the feasibility and expediency of the developed optimization method, we performed calculations. To this end, we constructed a mathematical model and performed calculations in the following sequence:

- depreciation of the operating fixed assets in a linear way;
- depreciation of the same fixed assets by the sum-of-the-years-digits method (a decreasing depreciation option) [6];
- calculation of the difference between the amount of profit and property taxes released as a result of applying various methods of depreciation;
- calculation of the amount of fixed assets that can be purchased at the expense of the released amount of taxes;
- calculation of the potential value of depreciated new fixed assets in a linear way;
- calculation of the potential value of depreciated new fixed assets by the the sum-of-the-years-digits method (an increasing depreciation option)
- calculation of the possible amount of profit and property taxes released as a result of using additional fixed assets;
- identification of an optimal method and a depreciation rate.

Let us formalize the profit and property tax calculation procedure.

$P_{1,-}$ – annual property tax when using a linear depreciation method;

$P_{1,-}^*$ – annual property tax when using a linear depreciation method for new assets purchased at the expense of deferred taxation;

$P_{2,-}$ – annual property tax when using the sum-of-the-years-digits method (a decreasing depreciation option);

$P_{3,-}^*$ – annual property tax when using the sum-of-the-years-digits method (an increasing depreciation option) for new assets purchased at the expense of deferred taxation.

The procedure and formula for calculating the amount of the property tax are based on Chapter 30 “Property Tax of Organizations” of Part II of the Tax Code of the Russian Federation which was enacted by by Federal Law No. 139-FZ of 11 November 2003. The property tax calculation procedure has changed. In accordance with Article 375, the tax base is an average annual value of the property recognized as an object of taxation. At the same time, when determining the tax base, the property recognized as a taxable object is taken into account according to its residual value, formed in accordance with the established accounting procedure of the organization [7].

The average value of assets deemed to be an object of taxation for an accounting period shall be determined as the quotient from dividing the sum obtained as a result of adding together the amounts of the net book value of the assets as at the 1st of each month of the accounting period and the 1st of the month following the accounting period by the number of months in the accounting period plus one (clause 4 of Article 376 of Part II of the Tax Code of the RF) [8].

Taking into account the given regulatory document, the formula should include the valuation of the property included into the taxable base minus depreciation charges accrued by one of the three selected methods: the linear method (β_1), the sum-of-the-years-digits method (a decreasing depreciation option) (β_2) and the sum-of-the-years-digits method (an increasing depreciation option) (β_3) [9].

Coefficients β_i are the values of the depreciation rate calculated by the i-th depreciation method.

Let us introduce the following notation:

γ - property tax rate;

O_t - (initial) residual value of fixed assets in the t-th year (the residual value at the beginning of the first operation year is equal to the initial value);

O_t^* - (initial) residual value of fixed assets purchased at the expense of deferred taxation in the t-th year;

β_1 - depreciation rate when using the linear method of depreciation in the i-th quarter of the t-th year;

β_2 - depreciation rate when using the sum-of-the-years-digits method (a decreasing depreciation option) in the i-th quarter of the t-th year;

β_3 - depreciation rate when using the sum-of-the-years-digits method (an increasing depreciation option) in the i-th quarter of the t-th year;

V - revenue;

S - production cost;

Z - the amount of costs, excluding depreciation;

A - the depreciation amount for fixed assets of the company;

D - pretax profit;

α - profit tax rate;

t - year digit;

k - the length of the deferred tax period (in years).

Let us calculate the amounts of profit and property taxes in the t-th year in the period of deferred taxation [10].

The profit tax:

$$H_t = D_t \cdot \alpha \tag{1}$$

The pretax profit:

$$D_t = V_t - S_t \tag{2}$$

The production cost:

$$S_t = Z_t + A_t \tag{3}$$

The depreciation amount when using the linear method of depreciation:

$$A_t = O_t \cdot \beta \tag{4}$$

Using the above notation and formulas (1) - (4), we can calculate profit taxes using various depreciation methods [11].

The formula for calculating profit tax H_{1_l} when using the linear method:

$$H_{1_l} = [V_i - (V_i + (O_i \beta_1)) - P_1] \cdot \alpha \tag{5}$$

The formula for calculating profit tax $H_{1_s}^*$ when using the linear method accounting for new assets:

$$H_{1_s}^* = [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i^* \beta_1)) - P_1^*] \cdot \alpha \tag{6}$$

The formula for calculating profit tax $H_{2_s}^*$ when using the sum-of-the-years-digits method (a decreasing depreciation option):

$$H_{2_s}^* = [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i \beta_2)) - P] \cdot \alpha \tag{7}$$

The formula for calculating profit tax $H_{3_s}^*$ when using the sum-of-the-years-digits method (an increasing depreciation option) accounting for new assets:

$$H_{3_s}^* = [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i^* \beta_3)) - P] \cdot \alpha \tag{8}$$

To determine the difference between the amounts of profit taxes, let us calculate:

d_1 - the difference between the amounts of profit tax at depreciation rates β_1 and β_2 :

$$d_1 = (H_{1_l} - H_{2_s}^*) \tag{9}$$

By inserting formulas (2.5) and (2.7) into formula (2.9), we have

$$d_1 = [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i \beta_1)) - P_1] \cdot \alpha - [V_i - (Z_i + O_i \beta_2) - P_2] \cdot \alpha \tag{10}$$

It is clear that the final amount of profit tax is influenced by the amount of depreciation generated by the difference in depreciation rates when using the sum-of-the-years-digits (a decreasing depreciation option) (β_2) and linear (β_1) methods [12].

The amount of income tax is also influenced by property tax whose amount is equal to the difference in property taxes P_1 and P_2 .

Formula (10) is used to calculate the amount of property tax released due to changes in the value of the cost using a combination of the linear and sum-of-the-years-digits (a decreasing depreciation option) methods.

Let us calculate d_2 - the difference between the amounts of property tax at the depreciation rates β_1 and β_3 :

$$d_2 = (H_{1_s}^* - H_{3_s}^*) \tag{11}$$

By inserting formulas (2.6) and (2.8) into formula (2.11), we have

$$d_2 = [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i^* \beta_1)) - P] \cdot \alpha - [V_i - (Z_i - (O_i^* \beta_3)) - P] \cdot \alpha \tag{12}$$

In formula (12), there are the same regularities as in formula (10).

Formula (12) is used to calculate the amount of property tax released due to changes in the value of the cost using a combination of the linear and sum-of-the-years-digits (an increasing depreciation option) methods [13].

The amount of property and profit taxes in the period of deferred taxation d_3 can be calculated by formula

$$d_3 = d_1 + d_2 \tag{13}$$

By inserting formulas (9) and (11) into formula (2.13), we have

$$d_3 = (H_1 - H_2) + (H_1^* - H_3^*) \tag{14}$$

Let us transform formula (2.14), by inserting expressions (5), (7) and (6), (8). We have

$$d_3 = [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i \beta_1)) - P_1] \cdot \alpha - [V_i - (Z_i - (O_i \beta_2)) - P_2] \cdot \alpha + [V_i - (Z_i + (O_i^* \beta_1)) - P_1] \cdot \alpha - [V_i - (Z_i - (O_i \beta_2)) - P_2] \cdot \alpha \tag{15}$$

Let us simplify formula (2.15):

$$d_3 = \alpha [(\beta_2 - \beta_1)C_i + (\beta_3 - \beta_1)O_i^* - (P_1 - P_2) - (P_1^* - P_3^*)] \tag{16}$$

The formula can be used to calculate profit and property taxes both for the period of deferred taxation, and for the entire taxation period, until the value of fixed assets is fully depreciated. Formula (16) can be used to calculate the time for which the company will accumulate the amount of tax credit in order to purchase additional units of fixed assets.

It can be used to calculate the amount of tax deductions on profit and property taxes for newly purchased fixed assets [14].

Formula (16) shows the following regularities and relations. Formula (16) is used to calculate comparative characteristics of different methods of depreciation: the linear method through β_1 , the sum-of-the-years-digits method (a decreasing depreciation option) through β_2 , the sum-of-the-years-digits method (an increasing depreciation option) through β_3 . Analysis of formulas (5), (7) and (6), (8) and formula (16) shows that with an increase in depreciation rates β_2 and β_3 , the taxable base for profit tax decreases and the difference in profit tax amounts arising from the difference in the amounts of depreciation rates decreases as well [15]. If β_2 and β_3 decrease, there is a reverse increase in the difference of profit tax amounts. A decrease or increase in depreciation rates changes the property tax amount. In the period of deferred taxation when using β_2 , instead of β_1 , there is a decrease in the difference in property tax amounts between P_1 and P_3 which increases the taxable profit amount, while the use of β_3 instead of β_1 decrease the taxable profit amount as the difference in the property tax amounts P_3 and P_1 increases [16]. Formula (16) is universal. When inserting other values of β_i it can be adjusted to new methods for calculating depreciation, and, consequently, to new norms of depreciation charges. It helps predict the effect of these changes on the taxable base of property and profit taxes.

4. Discussion

Using the developed mathematical model, it is possible to calculate the amount of money released for renewal of fixed assets and the amount of profit and property taxes to be paid [17, 18].

The mathematical model allows for the conclusion that only the combination of the the sum-of-the-years-digits (a decreasing depreciation option) and sum-of-the-years-digits (increasing depreciation option) methods has the greatest economic effect, i.e. it allows for an increase in profit and property tax revenues to the budget. It should be noted that the use of a linear depreciation method decreases the budget revenues.

5. Conclusion

One can conclude that the method will increase the amount of depreciation charges which will create the opportunity for renewal of assets and confirm the need for a priority industry direction of updating

fixed assets due to the specification and capital intensity of production. However, given the value of this indicator (% of the available fixed assets), it becomes clear that the renewal process is at a very low level which does not ensure high efficiency of the measures [19]. Based on the study results, two main groups of problems preventing the fixed assets from being renewed can be distinguished:

- Internal problems: unwillingness of managers to use the large amount of money, the lack of new technologies and production spaces, etc.;
- External: high market prices, taxes, tough competition, etc.

References

- [1] Nechaev A, Zakharov S and Troshina A 2017 Innovation Risk Minimization and Neutralization Methods *International Conference on Quality Management, Transport and Information Security, Information Technologies* pp 552-5
- [2] Andreeva E and Nechaev A 2013 The mechanism of an innovative development of the industrial enterprise *World Applied Sciences Journal* **27** 21-3
- [3] Nechaev A, Bovkun A and Zakharov S 2017 Innovation Management Characteristics of Industrial Enterprises *International Conference on Quality Management, Transport and Information Security, Information Technologies* pp 556-9
- [4] Nechaev A, Ognev D and Antipina O 2017 Analysis of Risk Management in Innovation Activity Process *International Conference on Quality Management, Transport and Information Security, Information Technologies* pp 548-51
- [5] Ilina E and Tyapkina M 2016 Enterprise investment attractiveness evaluation method on the base of qualimetry *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences* **11** 302-3
- [6] Nechaev A, Barykina Y and Puchkova N 2017 Analysis of Articles of Fixed Assets Renewal of Russian Business Enterprises *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research. Proceedings of the International Conference on Trends of Technologies and Innovations in Economic and Social Studies* **38**
- [7] Myznikova N, Bunova E and Yaroslavova E 2017 Modeling the formation of an industrial enterprise operating profit *International Conference on Industrial Engineering* **35** 1-5
- [8] Nechaev A and Antipina O 2015 Tax stimulation of innovation activities enterprises *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* **6** 42-7
- [9] Akhtyamov M and Gonchar E Financial capital appraisal in the system of industrial enterprise development management *International Conference on Industrial Engineering* **35** 2-6
- [10] Radygin A, Freinkman L and Glavatskaya N 2015 Russian Economy in 2013. Trends and outlooks *IET - Foundation Gaidar Institute for Economic Policy* **35** 387-93
- [11] Savin S 2016 Managing capital intensive rental businesses *University of Pennsylvania: Business administration, management* **1** 156-67
- [12] Ivanyta O 2015 Role of capital market businesses commercial establishment *Economic and management: theory and practice, Group of scientific articles* pp 77-83
- [13] Romano C, Tanewski and Smyrniotou K 2014 Capital structure decision making *Journal of business venturing* **16** 235-46
- [14] Campello M 2015 Capital structure and product markets interactions: evidence from business cycles *Journal of Financial Economics* **68** 312-25
- [15] Delano D 2016 Business capital spending still propels economic growth *Modern materials handling* **50** 3-7
- [16] Rodney M and Eamonn M 2016 Strategic business improvement approaches to capital expenditure processes in manufacturing *Integrated manufacturing systems* **13** 7-17
- [17] Alper C 2015 Advantage capital open for business *European venture capital journal* **78** 4-9
- [18] Huyghebaert N 2017 *The capital structure of business start-ups* **69** 2-11
- [19] Tyapkina M, Ilina E and Mongush J 2016 The effect of innovative processes on the cyclical Nature of Economic Development *Mathematics Education* **11** 1519-27

Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.